

EXHIBIT B

Exhibit B: Pending Pretrial Motions in Limine

ECF	Date	Party	Description	Related Filings and Status
494/ 495	6/11/24	Def's	Motion to exclude opinions of Prof. William Clark	Opposition 6/25/24 (ECF 496); Reply 7/1/24 (ECF 500); Defendants' prior motion to strike Prof. Clark's opinions was denied (ECF 311)
465/ 466	4/10/24	<u>Def's</u>	Motion in Limine (MIL) to exclude evidence of lease materials and the purported "eviction" of Plaintiffs	Opposition 5/1/24 (ECF 479); Reply 5/15/24 (ECF 483)
462/ 463	4/10/24	Def's	MIL to exclude evidence of the ethnicity of individuals at the Park allegedly affected by the Policy	Opposition 5/1/24 (ECF 478); Reply 5/15/24 (ECF 484)
460/ 461	4/10/24	Def's	MIL to exclude evidence of damages	Opposition 5/1/24 (ECF 477); Reply 5/15/24 (ECF 482)
458/ 459	4/10/24	Def's	MIL to exclude argument questioning whether valid interests for the Policy were in place at the time of the Policy's adoption	Opposition 5/1/24 (ECF 476); Reply 5/15/24 (ECF 486)
456/ 457	4/10/24	Def's	MIL to exclude the testimony of Ivan Yacub, Esq.	Opposition 5/1/24 (ECF 475); Reply 5/15/24 (ECF 485)
454/ 455	4/10/24	Pls	Plaintiffs' 4 MILs: (1) MIL to bar Defendants from introducing evidence of "business necessity" at Step Two unless the evidence relates to the actual, contemporaneous reasons for the policy; (2) MIL to preclude Defendants from eliciting evidence regarding alleged "business necessities" arising from inapplicable statutes; (3) MIL to exclude evidence of Defendants' relationship with or views toward immigrants or the Latino community; (4) MIL to restrict cross-examination regarding the female Plaintiffs' immigration status	Opposition 5/1/24 (ECF 474 (unredacted) & 480-1 (redacted)); ¹ Reply 5/15/24 (ECF 487)

¹ On a consent motion (ECF 480), and as ordered by the Court (ECF 481), Defendants substituted the unredacted ECF 474 with the redacted ECF 480-1 to protect confidential information.